

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 2692 Stephen M. Ackerman 50646/VGG/P337 10/616,833 07/09/2003 EXAMINER 01/27/2004 7590 MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP P.O. BOX 7068 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 1661

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		ACKERMAN, STEPHEN	NA.
Office Action Summary	10/616,833		IVI.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Susan B. McCormic		
Period for Reply	appears on the cover s	meet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, howeve, reply within the statutory minim riod will apply and will expire SIX atute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicates ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u>9 July 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condi	wance except for form er Ex parte Quayle, 19	al matters, prosecution as to the merita 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application	•	in the second of	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from considerat	ion.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		1 V () 1	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		Same and the second of	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirem	ent:	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are:			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the a	ittached Office Action of form PTO-152	۷.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	nents have been received the priority documents have priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(at list of the certified copposite priority under 35 to provisional application the stic priority under 35 to provisional application the stic priority under 35	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National Stage a)). vies not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appliance of the provisional	cation) Sheet.
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No 	3) N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:	<u></u> ·

Application/Control Number: 10/616,833

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Drawings

The drawings have been approved by an official draftsperson.

Objection to the Disclosure

37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.163 (a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More specifically:

A. Applicant is requested to indicate whether the parent cultivar 'Heritage' has been patented in the United States, is currently the subject of pending U.S. plant patents application, or not patented. If patented, --(U.S. Plant Patent No.)-- should be inserted after the appropriate cultivar name. If subject of a pending application, such should be referred to by serial number. If not patented, --(not patented)-- should be inserted after the appropriate cultivar name.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/616,833

Art Unit: 1661

B. Applicant should be more descriptive with the comparison between the parents 'PS-127' and 'Heritage' and the observed plant, 'PS-1764' in how it differs from each other.

C. On page 2, line 4, Applicant should disclose the plant patent number, U.S.P.P. 10,142, for the cultivar PS-1049. Correction is needed.

- D. Applicant should set forth in the specification the time it takes to initiate root development of the observed plant.
 - E. Applicant should disclose the flower diameter in the specification.
- F. On page 12, line 26, the term "medium" is not an appropriate descriptive term describing the texture of the petiole. Applicant should utilize a more suitable term such as --pubescent-- or --smooth--, for example. Correction is needed.
- G. Applicant should set forth in the specification whether there are reproductive organs or not. If so, Applicant should disclose such information and describe these structures (i.e. amount, sizes, colors) in the interest of providing as complete a botanical description of the observed plant as is reasonably possible.
- H. Applicant should disclose information to the observed plant's winter hardiness and drought/heat tolerance.
- I. The claim in this case is improper. The claim must be to the instant plant as illustrated and described. An acceptable claim would be, "A new and distinct raspberry **plant** as herein described and illustrated." (emphasis added). Should Applicant desire, the present descriptive language could also remain in the claim.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicant should carefully review the disclosure and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is sought.

Claim Rejection

35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st and 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,833

Art Unit: 1661

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Matrix Customer Service Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

sbm

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Brun Campell